

Rittberger, Marc

Information behavior in educational information systems. Teachers searching for lesson preparation

Collins-Thompson, Kevyn [Hrsg.]; Hansen, Preben [Hrsg.]; Hauff, Claudia [Hrsg.]: Search as Learning. Dagstuhl : Selbstverlag 2017, S. 135. - (Dagstuhl Seminar; 17092)



Quellenangabe/ Reference:

Rittberger, Marc: Information behavior in educational information systems. Teachers searching for lesson preparation - In: Collins-Thompson, Kevyn [Hrsg.]; Hansen, Preben [Hrsg.]; Hauff, Claudia [Hrsg.]: Search as Learning. Dagstuhl : Selbstverlag 2017, S. 135 - URN: urn:nbn:de:0111-dipfdocs-184550 - DOI: 10.25657/02:18455

<https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0111-dipfdocs-184550>

<https://doi.org/10.25657/02:18455>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Dieses Dokument steht unter folgender Creative Commons-Lizenz: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/de/deed> - Sie dürfen das Werk bzw. den Inhalt vervielfältigen, verbreiten und öffentlich zugänglich machen sowie Abwandlungen und Bearbeitungen des Werkes bzw. Inhaltes anfertigen, solange Sie den Namen des Autors/Rechteinhabers in der von ihm festgelegten Weise nennen.

Mit der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

Terms of use

This document is published under following Creative Commons-License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/de/deed.en> - You may copy, distribute and render this document accessible, make adaptations of this work or its contents accessible to the public as long as you attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.

By using this particular document, you accept the above-stated conditions of use.



Kontakt / Contact:

DIPF | Leibniz-Institut für
Bildungsforschung und Bildungsinformation
Frankfurter Forschungsbibliothek
publikationen@dipf.de
www.dipfdocs.de

Mitglied der


Leibniz-Gemeinschaft

Search as Learning

Edited by

Kevyn Collins-Thompson¹, Preben Hansen², and Claudia Hauff³

1 University of Michigan – Ann Arbor, US, kevynct@umich.edu

2 Stockholm University, SE, preben@dsv.su.se

3 TU Delft, NL, c.hauff@tudelft.nl

Abstract

This report describes the program and the results of Dagstuhl Seminar 17092 “Search as Learning”, which brought together 26 researchers from diverse research backgrounds. The motivation for the seminar stems from the fact that modern Web search engines are largely engineered and optimized to fulfill lookup tasks instead of complex search tasks. The latter though are an essential component of information discovery and learning. The 3-day seminar started with four perspective talks, providing four different views on the topic of search as learning: interactive information retrieval (IR), psychology, education and system-oriented IR. The remainder of the seminar centered around breakout groups leading to new views on the challenges and issues in search as learning, interspersed with research spotlight talks.

Seminar February 26–1, 2017 – <http://www.dagstuhl.de/17092>

1998 ACM Subject Classification Information Systems; Information Retrieval; Users and Interactive Retrieval; Evaluation of Retrieval Results

Keywords and phrases Searching, Learning, Human information interaction, Search processes, Learning processes


Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/DagRep.7.2.135

I Executive Summary

Claudia Hauff

Kevyn Collins-Thompson

Preben Hansen

License  Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Claudia Hauff, Kevyn Collins-Thompson, and Preben Hansen

Search is everywhere – it penetrates every aspect of our daily lives and most of us can hardly manage a few hours without resorting to a search engine for one task or another. Despite the success of existing (Web) search technology, there are still many challenges and problems that need to be addressed. Today’s Web search engines (often also powering domain-specific and site-specific search) are engineered and optimized to fulfil individual users’ lookup tasks. This efficiency, however, also means that we largely view search systems as tools to satisfy immediate information needs, instead of rich environments in which humans heavily interact with information content, and search engines act as intelligent dialogue systems, facilitating the communication between users and content. Web search engines are not designed for complex search tasks that require exploration and learning, user collaborations and involve different information seeking stages and search strategies, despite the fact that more than a quarter of Web searches are complex. In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the importance of studying and designing search systems to foster discovery and enhance the



Except where otherwise noted, content of this report is licensed under a Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license

Search as Learning, *Dagstuhl Reports*, Vol. 7, Issue 2, pp. 135–162

Editors: Kevyn Collins-Thompson, Preben Hansen, and Claudia Hauff



DAGSTUHL
REPORTS Dagstuhl Reports

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

learning experience during the search process outside of formal educational settings. Searches that lead to learning, are naturally complex. Research progress in this area, however, is slow, with many more open questions than answers. Several critical bottlenecks and major impediments to advancements in the search as learning area exist, including (i) the reliance on small-scale lab studies to evaluate novel approaches which severely limit the diversity of investigable factors as well as the ecological validity and generalizability of the findings; (ii) the lack of awareness among researchers' initiatives in this very multidisciplinary area of work; and (iii) the lack of a shared research infrastructure. The 3-day seminar gathered 26 prominent researchers from the fields of information retrieval, psychology and the learning sciences in order to address the critical bottlenecks around search as learning. The seminar sessions alternated between tutorial-style presentations to learn from each other's disciplines and interactive breakout sessions to find a common ground and address the most pressing issues related to the four big research themes of (i) understanding search as a human learning process; (ii) the measurement of learning performance and learning outcomes during search; (iii) the relationship between the learning process and the search context; and (iv) the design of functionalities and search system interventions to promote learning.

2 Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Claudia Hauff, Kevyn Collins-Thompson, and Preben Hansen.....135

Overview of Talks

What do learners gain when searching?
Leif Azzopardi 139

Crowdsourcing
Ujwal Gadiraju 139

The user’s emotional experience in learning and search processes
Gabriele Irle 140

Search as learning – a psychological perspective
Yvonne Kammerer 140

How can SAL studies help search engines
Yiqun Liu 140

SAL – A Information Retrieval (IR) / Interactive Information Retrieval (IIR) perspective
Heather O’Brien 141

Searching As Learning
Rebecca B. Reynolds 141

Information behavior in educational information systems – Teachers searching for lesson preparation
Marc Rittberger 143

Search as Learning or Learning by Search
Marcus Specht 143

Computational Metacognition
Michael Twidale 144

Looking for “Listening” Online: A Learning Sciences & Learning Analytics Research Project with Potential Implications for Studying & Supporting Search as Learning
Alyssa Wise 145

Dynamic Information Retrieval Modeling
Grace Hui Yang 145

Challenges in Measuring Knowledge State Change during Search Sessions
Ran Yu 146

Working groups

Working Group Summaries
Kevyn Collins-Thompson, Preben Hansen, and Claudia Hauff 146

Understanding search as a human learning process: when and how does learning occur in the search process? 146

What is the relationship between the learning process and the context (educational, work-related, etc.) in which learning & searches occur? 148

How can constructs and results from cognitive psychology and education be used to inform models of learning, knowledge acquisition, or mental representation during search interaction?	150
What type of functionalities and interventions on the search system interface level and the search algorithm level can foster learning?	151
What are effective and scalable proxy signals for learning during search and search-related activities, and how can we measure and apply them?	153
How can learning performance and learning outcomes be measured during search? What search process features can act as indicators of learning?	156
How should we address the conflict between the need/expectation of fast search and the need for more time in learning?	157
How can search systems become more conversational to include or promote learning?	158
Panel discussions	
Closing Panel Session	
<i>Claudia Hauff, Robert Capra, Kevyn Collins-Thompson, Gwen Frishkoff, Preben Hansen, Noriko Kando, Soo Young Rieh, Daniel Russell, and Christa Womser-Hacker</i>	159
Participants	162

- 6 Reynolds, R. & M. M. Chiu. (2015). Reducing digital divide effects through student engagement in coordinated game design, online resource uses, and social computing activities in school. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. DOI: 10.1002/asi.23504
- 7 Reynolds, R., & Chiu, M. (2013). Formal and informal context factors as contributors to student engagement in a guided discovery-based program of game design learning. *Journal of Learning, Media & Technology*. 38(4), pp. 429–462.
- 8 Reynolds, R., & Chiu, M. (2012). Contribution of motivational orientations to student outcomes in a discovery-based program of game design learning. *Proceedings of the International Conference of the Learning Sciences (ICLS)*, July 2012, Sydney, Australia.
- 9 Reynolds, R., & Harel Caperton, I. (2011). Contrasts in student engagement, meaning-making, dislikes, and challenges in a discovery-based program of game design learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 59(2), pp. 267–289.

3.8 Information behavior in educational information systems – Teachers searching for lesson preparation

Marc Rittberger (DIPF – Frankfurt am Main, DE)

License  Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Marc Rittberger

Searching is one of the main competences with respect to the digitalization of education. In Germany the “Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder in the Federal Republic of Germany (KMK)” states in her strategy “education in the digital world” that searching, processing and curating are main competences needed in education. We think, that one of the consequences for learning may be, that education, e.g. in schools, will change from knowing about things to also know where and HOW to find things. In this context of digitalization the organization of learning environments is changing, e.g. by using open educational resources. In our research we observed teachers searching for lesson preparation and we analyzed several data resources, where teachers are searching for learning materials. Results show, that teachers in German speaking countries demand quite concrete questions in the fields of content, method, or aims of lessons. Questions are less concrete, if teachers have information needs with respect to control, sanctions, or organization of the lesson.

3.9 Search as Learning or Learning by Search

Marcus Specht (Open University – Heerlen, NL)

License  Creative Commons BY 3.0 Unported license
© Marcus Specht

Searching information is a key component of nearly all human learning processes. Recently the discussion about information literacy has become very popular especially when using web search engines and digital information repositories in educational settings. At the Welten Institute we have been exploring different directions for supporting teachers and learners in search activities. Desktop research is a major search activity being used from primary school to university level. The major elements of search activities include the development